

Curriculum and Instruction Student Handbook

Doctor of Education Program

2016-2017



UNIVERSITY *of*
DENVER

MORGRIDGE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Table of Contents

Welcome to the Morgridge College of Education	4
TLS Faculty and Staff	5
About the Curriculum & Instruction Program	6
<i>Vision Statement</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Curriculum and Instruction (C&I) Program Overview</i>	<i>6</i>
Doctor of Education Degree Requirements	6
Course Offerings	6
<i>Edd Specializations</i>	<i>7</i>
Curriculum Studies Specialization	7
Gifted Education Specialization	7
Mathematics Education Specialization	7
Doctoral Applied Experiences for the EdD	8
<i>Rationale</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Doctoral Professional Applied Experience Areas</i>	<i>8</i>
Graduate-Level Teaching	8
Research	8
Presentation/Publication	9
Grant Writing	9
Coaching/Field Work Supervision	9
Community Leadership in Curriculum and Instruction	9
Technology	9
<i>Doctoral Professional Applied Experience Evaluation</i>	<i>9</i>
Edd Qualifying Portfolio	10
<i>Portfolio Submission Process</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Portfolio Contents</i>	<i>11</i>
Curriculum Vitae	11
Statement of Purpose	11
Academic Papers	11
Doctoral Competencies	11
<i>Portfolio Evaluation Process</i>	<i>11</i>
Doctoral Comprehensive Examination	11
Doctoral Research Project	12
<i>Collaboration</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Edd Research Process</i>	<i>13</i>
Research Sequence	13
Doctoral Research Proposal Hearing	13
IRB Review and Approval	13
Doctoral Research Project	13
Creative Dissemination	14

Doctoral Research Project Final Defense	14
Oral Committee.....	14
<i>Doctoral Research Project Options</i>	<i>14</i>
Option One - Intervention.....	14
Option Two - Problem Analysis	15
Probation and Termination Protocol	16
Appendix A: Program Benchmarks	17
Appendix B: Curriculum and Instruction EdD Coursework Plan 2016-2017	18
Appendix: C Doctoral Applied Experiences: Evaluation Log	21
Appendix D: Doctoral Research Project Evaluation Rubric.....	22

Welcome to the Morgridge College of Education

This Student Handbook provides students in the program with policies and procedures to assist them as they progress through the requirements of the Morgridge College of Education (MCE) degrees and certificates. In addition to our program publication, students should become familiar with the Graduate Policies and Procedures as stated in the DU Graduate Bulletin. Although every effort has been made to ensure agreement between these two documents, it is the student's responsibility to read the norms regarding degree programs in all documents and to complete various program steps in a timely fashion.

Please take some time to review this material to become familiar with the details of the program. *You are responsible for familiarizing yourself with the contents of this Handbook, as well as the policies outlined in the [Graduate Policies and Procedures Bulletin](#).*

Please also check the MCE website for the most updated Policies and Procedures information specific to the MCE: <http://morgridge.du.edu/handbooks-forms/mce-policies-procedures/>

The University of Denver and its programs are accredited by the Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools (HLC) and by other major accrediting agencies.

The University of Denver is an Equal Opportunity Institution. It is the policy of the University not to discriminate in the admission of students, in the provision of services, or in employment on the basis of race, ethnicity, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, marital status, veteran status, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, genetic information, or disability. The University prohibits all discrimination, harassment and retaliation, and complies with all applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations and Executive Orders.

Inquiries concerning allegations of discrimination based on any of the above factors may be referred to the University of Denver Office of Equal Opportunity/ADA Compliance, Mary Reed Building, Room 422, 2199 S. University Blvd., Denver, CO 80208. Phone: 303-871-7436. Fax: 303-871-3656. For more information, please call the above number or see the website at <http://www.du.edu/deo/>. You may also contact the Office of Diversity and Equal Opportunity/ADA Compliance with concerns regarding determinations of religious or disability accommodations and /or issues about access.

TLS Faculty and Staff

Mayumi Beckelheimer

DTR Teacher Education Coordinator
Phone: 303-871-2314
Mayumi.Kawafune@du.edu

Doug Clements, PhD

Professor
Kennedy Endowed Chair, Early Childhood Ed
Director, Marsico Institute
Phone: 303-871-2895
Douglas.Clements@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/dclemen9>

Jeanine Coleman, PhD

Clinical Assistant Professor
Early Childhood Special Education
Phone: 303-871-2496
Jeanine.Coleman@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/jeanine.Coleman>

Heidi Creel

Academic Services Associate
Phone: 303-871-7013
Heidi.Creel@du.edu

Norma Hafenstein, PhD

Ricks Endowed Clinical Professor
Phone: 303-871-2527
Norma.Hafenstein@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/nhafenst>

Cynthia Hazel, PhD

Associate Professor
Department Chair
Cynthia.Hazel@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/chazel>

Rick Kitchen, PhD

Kennedy Endowed Chair in Urban Education
Phone: 303-871-2255
Richard.Kitchen@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/rkitch4>

Janet Lear

Assistant Professor of the Practice
Phone: 303-871-2474
Janet.Lear@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/janet.Lear>

Betsy Leonard

TEP Field Placement Supervisor
Phone: 303-917-6369
Betsy.Leonard@du.edu

Jessica Lerner, EdS

Assistant Professor of the Practice
Director, Teacher Education Program
Phone: 303-871-2517
jessica.Lerner@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/jlerne22>

Paul Michalec, PhD

Clinical Professor
Phone: 303-871-7952
Paul.Michalec@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/pmichale>

Gloria Miller, PhD

Morgridge Endowed Professor in Literacy
Phone: 303-871-3340
Gloria.Miller@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/glmiller>

Tara Raines, PhD

Assistant Professor
Phone: 303-871-7015
Tara.Raines@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/traines5>

Jessica Reinhardt, PhD

Assistant Clinical Professor
Phone: 303-871-2838
Jessica.Reinhardt@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/jreinh25>

Karen Riley, PhD

Dean, Associate Professor
Phone: 303-871-3665
Karen.Riley@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/kriley>

Garrett Roberts, PhD

Assistant Professor
Phone: 303-871-2823
Garrett.Roberts@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/grober65>

Maria Salazar, PhD

Associate Professor
Phone: 303-871-3772
Maria.Salazar@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/MSALAZAR>

Julie Sarama, PhD

Professor
Kennedy Endowed Chair in Innovative Learning Technologies
Phone: 303-871-3983
Julie.Sarama@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/jsarama>

Tanya Talapatra, PhD

Assistant Professor
Phone: 303-871-3352
Devadrita.Talapatra@du.edu
<http://portfolio.du.edu/dtalapat>

Bruce Uhrmacher, PhD

Professor
Research Methods and Statistics
Phone: 303-871-2483
Bruce.Uhrmacher@du.edu

About the Curriculum & Instruction Program

Vision Statement

The Morgridge College of Education will be a global leader in innovative and effective approaches for promoting learning throughout the lifespan. Transcending traditional ideas about education and schooling, we will embrace a new, comprehensive vision of learning as a lifelong activity that involves the whole person and can occur through a variety of methods, anywhere and at any time. We will promote educational change and social equity and will provide leadership for the improvement of education, mental health and information services and systems.

Curriculum and Instruction (C&I) Program Overview

The C&I program works toward the development of the most effective pedagogy, curriculum, scholarship, and care for students that is needed to address the current state of education in both private and public, formal and informal, educational settings.

Doctor of Education Degree Requirements

The 65-credit hour Doctor of Education (EdD) degree is designed for students who want to further their knowledge of teaching, learning, schools, and communities from academically grounded frameworks. All EdD students take a wide range of courses in the areas of curriculum, instruction, foundations, diversity, and research. In accordance with the Carnegie Project on the Education Doctorate (CPED), which the MCE is a member of, the courses for the C&I EdD are designed to be taken in a specific sequence over a three-year period and in a cohort model. See [Appendix A](#) for Program Benchmarks.

Students enrolled full time can complete their coursework in three years and should attend to the logistics included below accordingly as they advance through the program. The major considerations involve the coursework plan, portfolio defense, and comprehensive examinations. Further, students are encouraged to begin working on their doctoral research after one year of coursework to ensure that students can progress through the program in three years. Please note the various considerations and logistical sequence included below. Students who have questions or comments about the sequence below should contact their advisor for clarification or direction. See Appendix D for the Doctoral Research Project Evaluation.

Students must maintain a cumulative grade-point average of 3.0 or better. Grades below “C” will not be counted toward the degree and must be retaken, with a passing grade obtained, to meet the degree requirements.

Students must also complete a Doctoral Professional Applied Experience, a Portfolio, a Comprehensive Examination, and a Doctoral Research Project as part of the degree requirements. Each of these four requirements will be discussed in detail in later sections.

Course Offerings

Please see a listing of C&I courses and descriptions in the *Graduate Bulletin*:

<http://bulletin.du.edu/graduate/schoolscollegesanddivisions/morgridgecollegeofeducation/teachingandlearning/sciences/#courseinventory>.

Note these courses are not offered every quarter; students should consult an academic advisor to work on a coursework plan for their degree.

EdD Specializations

As part of the total 65 credit hours required for the EdD in C&I degree, students must complete a minimum of 24 credit hours in a specialization. See [Appendix B](#) for coursework plan. There are three specializations within the EdD program: Curriculum Studies, Gifted Education, and Mathematics Education. Each specialization is described in more detail below. It is important that students meet early and regularly with their advisor to determine availability of courses necessary to complete the specialization requirements.

Curriculum Studies Specialization

Students complete courses aimed at developing professionals who are well-equipped to meet the learning needs of students in a variety of educational settings including: early childhood, K-12, higher education, and non-traditional learning contexts. The Curriculum Studies specialization focuses on curriculum design, models of curriculum, instructional strategies, and student engagement. Additionally, course work in this specialization encourages candidates to think critically and imaginatively about curriculum and instruction and its role in creating a more open and inviting learning experience for all students.

Gifted Education Specialization

Students complete courses in gifted education content knowledge, integrated with leadership theory in complex systems that provides a breadth of foundational training for gifted education leadership positions. Research skill development is focused on problem identification and interventions and is achieved through coursework in both qualitative and quantitative methodologies and applied analyses. Successful candidates in this specialization will be prepared for gifted education leadership roles, whether at a district, school, or university level.

Mathematics Education Specialization

Students complete courses aimed at developing leaders and researchers in mathematics education with strong theoretical and historical backgrounds. Successful candidates will be prepared to address matters of practice in mathematics education, be well versed in a wide variety of methods of inquiry with experience in research design, and be capable of applying a variety of theories to address and solve problems.

Doctoral Applied Experiences for the EdD

Rationale

The University of Denver is a great private University committed to the public good. Manifestations of this role are seen across departments, divisions, graduate and undergraduate programs, directly in courses and in community service efforts. The Morgridge College of Education is committed to actions related to public good through a focus on “in-context learning”. This concept goes beyond course readings, assignments and activities, and considers the application of skills learned to the professional context. Leadership becomes a key role, whether in a preschool classroom, as a building level principal or district superintendent, developer of curriculum for a museum or the chair of an international effort of an NGO, among many others. Professionals are trained in preparation for a multitude of roles, including the role of the Academy. Doctoral level preparation includes rigorous coursework related to content areas and research methodologies. In consideration and preparation for assuming a leadership position within the Academy, additional opportunities are offered with advisor guidance to allow direct experience so that the doctoral candidate can build competencies. Direct experience opportunities are provided in graduate-level teaching, research (beyond that of the doctoral research project), community leadership, presentations and/or publications, grant writing, coaching and/or field work supervision and technology as tool for improvement of learning. These seven distinct areas are relevant to leadership, growth and positive impact, directly upon graduation and over time. These areas may often interact or combine through an integrated experience. The intent is to benefit the doctoral candidate when advancing into a role with associated expectations.

Doctoral Professional Applied Experience Areas

- Graduate Level Teaching
- Research
- Presentation/Publication
- Grant Writing
- Coaching/Field Work Supervision
- Community Leadership in Curriculum and Instruction
- Technology as Tool for Improved Learning

These areas are documented through completion of the Doctoral Applied Experience Evaluation Log, which is part of the student’s file. The student submits evidence of successful experience that the advisor recognizes through approval on this evaluation log. The documentation can then become part of the student’s professional portfolio and curriculum vitae. A minimum of two of the identified areas are necessary, with the optional inclusion of many or all. The recommended minimum areas are graduate-level teaching and presentation/publication. Experiences may be related (a local presentation on the content taught in a graduate course) or integrated (research conducted on a community leadership project, then submitted for presentation at a national conference). Evidence is documented for each area, whether singularly and discrete or in combination.

Graduate-Level Teaching

Students interested in teaching should work with their academic advisor to locate suitable courses to teach or co-teach with a faculty member. Students should consider registering for independent study while teaching the course with a faculty member who will act as an instructional coach.

Research

Students participate in multiple levels of research under their advisor's direction. Examples include writing a literature review, gathering data, analyzing data, etc. A minimum of three different experiences must be documented beyond coursework requirements.

Presentation/Publication

Students will submit for presentation and/or publication a minimum of two professional pieces to local, state, national and/or international organizations for juried review and potential acceptance. Emphasis is on submission rather than acceptance. These submissions must be single author, not collaborative with faculty. Examples include: presentation at AATC, article submitted to NCTM publication, proposal submitted to AERA, etc.

Grant Writing

Students will participate in writing and submitting grants to external or University funding sources. A minimum of two small projects or one large project shall be documented. Examples include: drafting a proposal for a grant, drafting a literature review for documentation, developing a timeline with measurable outcomes, etc.

Coaching/Field Work Supervision

Students will work directly with faculty mentor for coaching and/or field work supervision training. Experience is determined by mentor as appropriate to need. Examples include: supervising student teachers in field placements, coaching practice teachers in parent communication skills, supervising training at a museum, etc. Example details:

TEP Supervision:

TEP Supervision would involve one to three students and observation and evaluation of each student at least twice per quarter. It also includes training and meetings with TEP faculty and informal advising for supervisees.

Required experience: coaching and/or teacher evaluation experience required.

TEP Coach:

This would be coaching an identified TEP student, in consultation with the student's supervisor and/or advisor. Time commitment: 2-3 observations per quarter for one student (could take on more than one student if interested). Debrief with student and update supervisor.

Required experience: 3 or more years teaching experience.

Community Leadership in Curriculum and Instruction

Students will provide evidence of community engaged learning, service learning, or community impact work related to Curriculum and Instruction and/or within a specific field of study. Examples include: curriculum development at a museum, staff development work in a local public school, program evaluation, etc.

Technology

Students will demonstrate use of technology to promote deeper learning. This expectation is directly applicable to teaching and research, however not limited. Quality Matters Program "QM" standards are utilized as guidelines. Examples include: effective use of new technology tools, development of a program to analyze data, converting a face-to-face course to hybrid or online, etc.

Doctoral Professional Applied Experience Evaluation

As part of the pre-graduation coursework audit, a completed Doctoral Applied Experience Log, signed by the advisor, for relevant areas must be submitted. Actual work documentation can be kept by the student in his/her portfolio. [See Appendix C](#) for the evaluation log.

Edd Qualifying Portfolio

Portfolios have been adopted as formative and summative assessments for K-12 classrooms, academic and professional programs, and even state licensure programs. Curriculum and Instruction faculty have chosen to implement portfolios as an occasion to assess student progress (not just knowledge) and to assess program and career goals.

Begin your portfolio early, so you do not have to submit a document that is rushed or incomplete. Your portfolio should represent your best professional effort. We encourage you to work with peers in composing all portions of the portfolio. You might find it especially helpful to get feedback from others on your statement of purpose. Also, you should consult your advisor about any questions you have about the process or about which papers to submit. You should not expect professors to proofread your papers before you submit them.

The portfolio is as much a process as it is a product. We hope that you find it a valuable process that will help you summarize your experiences and will provide direction as you move toward your doctoral research.

Portfolio Submission Process

In consultation with an advisor, begin compiling your portfolio as soon as possible. The portfolio is submitted near the end of your second academic year in the program, preferably early in the spring quarter. The portfolio is due one week in advance of your portfolio defense. You are responsible for scheduling your oral and for reserving a room. Oftentimes, a defense is conducted in a professor's office.

Portfolio Contents

Use the [Portfolio Cover Sheet](#) and [Academic Paper Caption](#) forms, and follow the correct format. Your portfolio will consist of your curriculum vitae, a statement of purpose, and two academic papers.

Curriculum Vitae

A curriculum vitae (CV) is a fully-elaborated resume. It lists all the relevant professional activities in which you have engaged. As with a resume, it is up to you to choose a format that best represents your experiences. Some samples of section headings include: Research Interests, Program Development, Administration, Teaching, Grants, Publications, Presentations, and Honors/Awards. See your program advisor for help or examples.

Statement of Purpose

In five pages or less (double-spaced, 12-point font), write a statement of purpose that includes your philosophy of education and your research interests. Your research interests should relate to your philosophy of education, and should take into account your current educational concerns, your cognate, and what you have learned in your C&I courses that has shaped your thinking about the topic. The statement of purpose may also include your most current thinking about your dissertation.

Academic Papers

You will submit two of your strongest papers for review. Papers should be chosen from courses you have taken, but should be revised/revisited with a different audience in mind. Paper length is not important. You should choose those which demonstrate your grasp of curricular and instructional issues and that reflect your ability to conceptualize, analyze, and synthesize well. Each paper must be accompanied by a Caption Form. In discussing your reasons for submitting the paper, highlight strengths the paper reveals, as well as its relevance to your intellectual interests and your program progress.

Doctoral Competencies

A summary of your progress to date on meeting at least two doctoral competencies required by the program. Include as much detail as possible on your stages and steps toward completing the doctoral competencies, as well as any help or support you need to reach your professional goals.

Portfolio Evaluation Process

Portfolio contents will be reviewed by two C&I professors and feedback will be provided at the portfolio defense. Overall progress will be summarized as: Excellent, Very Good, Adequate, or Unsatisfactory. Students who receive an evaluation of Unsatisfactory will be put on probation. Graded Portfolio Cover Sheets must be submitted to the Academic Services Associate.

Doctoral Comprehensive Examination

The Comprehensive Exam provides students an opportunity to analyze and synthesize learning gained from multiple years of coursework and to communicate professional reflections clearly and with substantiated citations. The Comprehensive Exam also provides the means for students to initiate the process of writing their Doctoral Proposal. For the Comprehensive Exam, students submit a paper that includes the following: (a) an introduction to the complex persistent problem of practice the student is interested in pursuing for their doctoral dissertation study; (b) a literature review that is pertinent to the research questions outlined in the introduction; and (c) a brief discussion of research methods and procedures selected to address these research questions and a theoretical discussion of why these

methods were selected. This is the initiated of the first three chapters of your dissertation and is a significant part of the course work in CUI: 5980 Research as Problem Analysis.

A student should plan to complete the Comprehensive Exam no later than the fall quarter of year three of their program. Content of a successful Doctoral Comprehensive Examination is often in alignment with the literature review of the Doctoral research Proposal. Successful completion of the doctoral comprehensive examination is required prior to finalizing the schedule for the Doctoral Research Proposal hearing. The Doctoral Comprehensive Examination may also serve as the Doctoral research Proposal hearing if the comprehensive exam meets all doctoral research proposal requirements. Comprehensive Exams are reviewed by two C&I faculty members and scored as pass with distinction, pass, pass with required modifications, or fail. Students who receive an evaluation of 'fail' will be put on probation.

Doctoral Research Project

With a particular emphasis on the application of various theoretical positions to practical problems in schools, the doctoral research experience stands as the cornerstone of the EdD program. The nature of the research is expected to vary from student to student, as the project will be based on the student's interest, expertise, and career trajectory.

As opposed to the PhD, the EdD does not require the completion of a traditional dissertation, but will instead provide the student with an opportunity to conduct doctoral-level research with the intentions of improving practice in schools, community settings, and educational entities. This research may be facilitated through cooperation with a variety of educational settings, including public or private schools, community-based organizations, informal learning environments, or other areas, as dictated by the student in cooperation with the advisor. It is expected that the student take full responsibility for the logistics, access, and other considerations, including IRB review and approval.

Doctoral Research Project can embody a great variety of context and will vary in scope, means and final products. What remains as a central theme to all Doctoral Research Projects is the value of meaningfully connecting theoretical ideals to the realm of practice. The purpose of these projects is not to further the development of theories, but to engage with the current problems faced in schools or other educational contexts and, ideally, intervene in those educational contexts to improve them. Students are encouraged to engage their imaginations and harness their energy to make a difference in people's lives and further the development of practical research that influences the lived experience of education.

Collaboration

Although there is no requirement for collaboration, students working on Doctoral Research Projects in the Teaching and Learning Sciences program are supported by the concept of collaboration. Part of the Carnegie Project on the Education Doctoral focus, collaboration encourages students to consider initiatives that integrate multiple perspectives. A community effort or shared public document or initiative might result in combined work; however the proposal, research and defense must be individual.

Collaboration may take many forms. For example, one data set may be analyzed from two different perspectives. Similar projects may occur in multiple setting as another example of collaboration.

Should a doctoral student decide to collaborate on aspects of the work that will result in their doctoral

research project, each student is required to independently complete and defend a proposal for the doctoral research project. Students should work directly with their advisor and committee to determine parameters of collaboration supported in the Teaching and Learning Sciences program at the Morgridge College of Education

Edd Research Process

Students will be introduced to the Doctoral Research Process early in the course sequence, and take advantage of the flexibility within C&I courses to build the theoretical foundation for their final doctoral research. This could be writing the methodology section in a research course, composing the literature review in a curriculum course, or even collecting preliminary data through other courses.

Research Sequence

(As dictated by coursework, along with courses selected by the student)

Students will advance through the agreed-upon prescriptive sequence of research courses required for the course work plan and selected area of specialization. See coursework plan, [Appendix A](#).

Doctoral Research Proposal Hearing

Students will submit and defend a Doctoral Research Proposal (the first three chapters of the Doctoral Research Proposal) to their committee members (one advisor and one committee member). The proposal defense should be scheduled and completed by the end of the fall quarter of the third year of their degree program.

IRB Review and Approval

Upon successfully defending the proposal and making any required revisions, students will apply for approval through the Institutional Review Board at the University of Denver. Also, depending on the nature of the Doctoral Research Project and the community partner it may be necessary to seek additional institutional approval from the community partner. For instance, many school districts will require researchers to submit documentation to their own review boards for approval before data collection may begin.

Office of Research Integrity and Education

The DU Office of Research Integrity and Education (ORIE) provides support and oversight for research conducted by members of the University of Denver community so as ***“...to ensure active adherence to the ethical principles and professional standards for the responsible conduct of research.”***

MCE students, staff and faculty who conduct research are required to review the following [checklist](#) and [flow chart](#) as the first steps to determine if your research project qualifies as human subject research that requires you to submit a proposal to be reviewed by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). Please review the [examples](#) of research activities that may or may not require an IRB proposal. MCE graduate students should consult with their faculty advisor about their research activities. If you have additional questions about the ethical conduct of research at DU, please contact ORIE staff at 303-871-2121. More information on their office is listed on the [ORIE website](#).

Doctoral Research Project

Upon successful defense of the proposal and IRB (and district) approval, students will conduct their

Doctoral Research Project. Only data collected post-IRB approval can be included in the doctoral research project.

Creative Dissemination

A creative, community-based dissemination is a required component of this project (this is a component of the Quasi-Practical). Researchers must disseminate their work to interested community members as defined by the nature of the research. This could include the school that participated in the research, the administration in that school district, school leaders and policymakers who face issues similar to those examined in the research, or other community members and stakeholders. Community partners should be in attendance at the final defense and based on feedback from the community partner the research project may need revision to meet the practical needs of the community of partner.

Doctoral Research Project Final Defense

Following the completed research, which may include design, implementation and/or evaluation of some change in the field, students will defend their proposal. These defenses must be reviewed and evaluated by the committee.

Oral Committee

A minimum of two C&I faculty with earned doctorates should attend the proposal defense. The committee for the final defense of the doctoral research project should consist of a minimum of three voting members. The committee will include two faculty from C&I. The doctoral research project director will be a full-time clinical, tenured, or tenure-track faculty member. The third committee member can be a C&I faculty, a faculty from DU, or a community member (with an earned doctorate). If the third voting committee member is from outside C&I or DU, approval for the committee member must come from the doctoral research project director. No outside committee chair is required.

Doctoral Research Project Options

For most students the doctoral research process will be completed in one of two ways: through intervention (option one), or problem analysis (option two). In both of these options, students will be engaging with contemporary educational contexts in the interests of improving the educational experiences of those environments. Though the intervention addresses this issue more directly, through quite literally intervening, the problem analysis option is structured to ensure the work is positioned to influence educational environments firsthand. (Other options are possible with permission of the advisor and oral committee.)

Option One - Intervention

Option one entails the identification and characterization of a problem and the provision of some intervention in that educational environment with the intention of addressing that problem, as well as an evaluation of that intervention. This also involves creative dissemination of the entire problem identification, intervention, and evaluation process to those parties that may be interested in learning about this topic (at least three presentations). Examples might include, but are not limited to, a school improvement plan or a professional development series.

The following provides ideas on the nature of each chapter of the doctoral research paper:

Chapter I: Introduction and Rationale

The problem faced in a particular educational setting should serve as the rationale (dearth in the literature is not considered a viable rationale for the EdD doctoral research paper).

Chapter II: Review of Literature

This section should include the literature on both the problem, and the prospective intervention or action in the field.

Chapter III: Action or Intervention in the Field

What did the researcher actually do or change in the field? These changes should inspire some noticeable change in the practice of teaching, learning, and curriculum. This section will delineate what they changed, why they changed it, the nature of their role in the change, etc. This also includes how the researcher collected and analyzed data (and any methodological considerations associated with data collection and analysis).

Chapter IV: Evaluation of the Action or Intervention

Chapter IV should address what responses did the researcher's activity in the field inspire? What happened? What did not happen? What does this mean?

Chapter V: Engaging in the Quasi-Practical

A section that delineates how others might use this research, and should include the creative dissemination of the product to schools, supervisory boards, and other audiences as appropriate. Disseminating this work to communities involved in the research is a requisite condition of successfully completing this degree.

Option Two - Problem Analysis

Students must examine various aspects of a certain problem in a school and conduct research on potential solutions to those problems. They must then creatively disseminate what they found about the problem in the school, and provide a coherent recommendation for the educational institution based on what they found in this context, and what has worked in comparable situations (at least three presentations). Examples might include, but are not limited to, a curriculum review, program evaluation, instructional evaluation, teacher effectiveness, or an inclusive excellence analysis.

**Inspired by Illinois State University's (2009) Organizational Problem Analysis and Program Evaluation Dissertations.*

The following provides ideas on the nature of each chapter:

Chapter I: Introduction and Rationale

The problem faced in a particular educational setting should serve as the rationale (dearth in the literature is not considered a viable rationale for the EdD doctoral research paper).

Chapter II: Review of Literature

This section should include the literature on both the problem/issue faced in this school, as well as some of the potential causes or solutions to problems like these.

Chapter III: Methodology

What did the researcher actually do to better understand this problem or issue in this school? This section will delineate the methodological considerations for how the researcher developed a deeper understanding of the problems or issues in a given school, including how the researcher collected and analyzed data (and any methodological considerations associated with data collection and analysis).

Chapter IV: Analysis and Description of the Problem

What did the researcher find or reveal in this research? What did the researcher learn about the problem in this school? What is causing the problem? What is helping? What is missing?

Chapter V: Engaging in the Quasi-Practical

This section delineates what this school or institution, and potentially other establishments like it, may consider doing to address this issue. This section should include the creative dissemination of the product to schools, supervisory boards, and other audiences as appropriate. Disseminating this work to communities involved in the research is a requisite condition of successfully completing this degree.

Probation and Termination Protocol

For general information on the policies and procedures related to probation and termination in the Curriculum and Instruction Program in the Morgridge College of Education (MCE), please see the *MCE Policies and Procedures* page, section “MCE General Academic Probation Protocol and Dismissal Protocol” at: <http://morgridge.du.edu/handbooks-forms/mce-policies-procedures/#mce-general-academic-probation-protocol-and-dismissal-protocol>

Students should also access the *DU Graduate Bulletin*, section “Academic Standards” for a comprehensive description of situations that warrant probation, dismissal, and termination. This information can be found at: <http://bulletin.du.edu/graduate/academicpoliciesandprocedures/academicstandards/>

Appendix A: Program Benchmarks

The following Benchmarks are to be completed by year-end, unless noted otherwise or modified in conjunction with your academic advisor. A “year” is considered fall through summer.

There are 3 Doctoral Research Project in Practice Courses: **Research as Problem Analysis, Research as Intervention, and Applied Research**

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Coursework ❖ Field-Based Partner must be identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Coursework ❖ Complete Portfolio (due one week before the oral hearing). Winter Quarter ❖ Complete Literature Review (Research as Problem Analysis) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Complete Comprehensive Exam (Research as Intervention) ❖ Doctoral Research Project Proposal Hearing (Fall Quarter) ❖ Data Collection (Winter Quarter) ❖ Writing up Findings (Applied Research) ❖ Research Project Defense! <p>*NOTE—if you are unable to complete the writing of your findings by the end of Spring, you can go into the Summer, with the permission of your advisor.</p>

**Please note:* the following is a recommended schedule for the three-year plan. This plan can be adapted as necessary. Please see your advisor if you have questions, comments, or need clarification.

Appendix B: Curriculum and Instruction EdD Coursework Plan 2016-2017

NAME _____ STUDENT # _____

Please discuss with your advisor the course plan most appropriate for your schedule. Students must maintain a cumulative grade-point average of 3.0 or better. Grades below "C" will not be counted toward the degree.

	Course Number	Credit Hours	Expected Term of Completion
A. REQUIRED COURSES			
Introduction to Curriculum	CUI 4020	3	
Transformational Teaching & Learning	CUI 4039	3	
Race, Class and Gender in Education	CUI 4160	3	
Critical Perspectives: Democracy, Power and Privilege	CUI 4035	3	
Minimum Total Credit Hours Required		12	
B. FOUNDATIONS			
<i>Choose a minimum of one from the following courses:</i>			
History of Education in the United States	CUI 4180	3	
Philosophy of Education	CUI 4130	3	
Spirituality in Education	CUI 4131	3	
Minimum Total Credit Hours Required		3	
C. SPECIALIZATION			
Minimum Total Credit Hours Required		24	
D. RESEARCH			
<i>Required Research Coursework* (6 credits)</i>			

	Course Number	Credit Hours	Expected Term of Completion
Structural Foundations of Research in Social Sciences	RMS 4940	3	
Empirical Research Methods	RMS 4930	3	
Research Sequences (8-9 credits) Choose ONE Option.			
OPTION 1:			
Introduction to Qualitative Research	RMS 4941	4	
Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis	RMS 4942	4	
OPTION 2:			
Introductory Statistics	RMS 4910	5	
Correlation and Regression (RMS 4911 - 4 cr) OR Survey and Design Analysis (RMS 4931 - 3 cr)		4/3	
Doctoral Research Courses (10 credits)			
Research as Problem Analysis	CUI 5980	3	
Research as Intervention	CUI 5981	3	
Applied Research	CUI 5982	4	
Minimum Total Credit Hours Required		24	

SUMMARY OF COURSEWORK COMPLETED	CREDITS REQUIRED	CREDITS COMPLETED
A. Required Coursework	12	
B. Foundations	3	
C. Specialization	24	
D. Research	24	
MINIMUM TOTAL CREDITS FOR DEGREE**	65**	

In addition, you must complete the following non-coursework requirements: qualifying portfolio, doctoral comprehensive exam, and doctoral research project.

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

Advisor's Signature _____ Date _____

Cognate Advisor's Signature (if applicable) _____ Date _____

IMPORTANT NOTES FOR COURSEWORK PLAN:

**As part of Required Research Coursework, a recommended prerequisite is: RMS 4920 Educational Measurement (3 credits).*

***Please note, the summary of total credits required for degree above adds to 63 credit hours. However, 65 credit hours are required for the EdD degree in C&I. Therefore, students must exceed the minimum number of hours in the "required" category of courses by two credit hours in order to complete the total degree requirements of 65 credit hours.*

Appendix: C Doctoral Applied Experiences: Evaluation Log

Activity	Description	Satisfactory? (Y/N)	Faculty Signature and Date
Graduate Level Teaching			
Research			
Presentation/Publication			
Grant Writing			
Coaching/Field Work Supervision			
Community Leadership in Curriculum & Instruction			
Technology as Tool for Improved Learning			

Appendix D: Doctoral Research Project Evaluation Rubric

This rubric will be completed by professors in the Curriculum and Instruction EdD program to evaluate the Doctoral Research Project.

Student Name _____ ID _____

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Sub-standard
Cogency	Arguments and statements are clear, concise, and well supported. Materials are thoughtfully organized and writing is of a level expected of an EdD.	Arguments and statements are mostly clear, concise, and supported, but there are occasional flaws. Materials are organized and writing is competent.	Arguments and statements lack clarity, and there is little support for statements. Materials lack in organization and writing needs a great deal of work.
Thoroughness	Ideas, interests, and other components of the work are well developed, thoughtfully articulated, and supported with reference to scholarly literature and personal experience.	Ideas, interests, and other components of the work are developed, articulated, and supported with reference to scholarly literature and personal experience.	Ideas, interests, and other components of the work lack in development, articulation, and support with reference to scholarly literature and personal experience.
Intervention	The research inspired significant change in the practice of teaching, learning, or curriculum.	The research inspired some noticeable change in the practice of teaching, learning, or curriculum.	Change within the practice of teaching, learning, and curriculum was not inspired by the researcher's efforts.
General Project Approach	Student organizes and presents research through a strong Intervention or Problem Analysis approach. The approach chosen successfully aligns with the research conducted.	Student organizes and presents research through a strong Intervention or Problem Analysis approach. The approach chosen aligns well with the research conducted.	It is not clear which research approach was selected by the student. The approach chosen does not align well with the research conducted.
Quasi-Practical	The results of the research are shared with interested community members as defined by the research.	Few results of the research are shared with interested community members as defined by the research.	The results of the research are not shared with interested community members as defined by the research.

Faculty Decision	Explanation
Pass	Student research paper is acceptable for pass as is, and requires no revision or resubmission; research paper is complete.
Conditional Pass	Student must make revisions as noted by reviewing faculty. Upon completion of recommended revisions, student resubmits for evaluation.
Fail	Students are only given the faculty decision of fail if their initial submission was given the grade of "revise and resubmit" and that resubmission also necessitates substantial revision and resubmission. In other words, consecutive faculty decisions of "revise and resubmit" results in a fail. A failing grade for the doctoral research paper results in termination from the program.